



Self-Assessment Tool

This purpose of this checklist is to allow investigators to conduct self-assessments of their IRB approved projects to ensure they are being conducted in compliance with IRB regulations and policies, as well as the protocol’s approved procedures.

The UVA IRB-SBS encourages researchers to conduct self-assessments at least annually.

The IRB may also request that self-assessments be conducted and submitted to the UVA IRB by a specified date.

Please keep copies of completed assessments with your IRB related records.

Project Identification							
<i>Principal Investigator (PI):</i>		<i>Faculty Advisor (FA):</i>		<i>Assessment Completed by:</i>		<i>Date Conducted:</i>	
<i>IRB Protocol #:</i>		<i>Title:</i>					

Records Review				
1. Approval and Regulatory Documentation	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>	<i>N/A</i>	<i>Notes</i>
Are all IRB related records being retained in an accessible location?				
Are grant application, progress reports and correspondence to/from the funding entity on file?				
Are all versions of the IRB approved protocol on file?				
Are you tracking the versions and dates in an IRB Submission log?				
Are all versions of the IRB approved consent, parental permission, and assent documents on file?				
Are all versions of IRB approved information provided to participants on file (handouts, brochures, survey tools)?				
Are all IRB approval letters on file (initial approval, continuing review, modifications)?				
Are all external/local/ethical review approvals on file?				
If international research, is there documentation on file that the protocol was reviewed and approved within the country’s ethics review/approval infrastructure?				
If research conducted at a location other than UVA, are permissions on file for study to be conducted at that location?				
Are all project team members current (completed in last 3 years) in their human participants protections training (CITI)?				
Have all revisions to the project been approved by the IRB prior to implementation?				

2. Participant Recruitment and Screening	Yes	No	N/A	Notes
Were all participants identified and recruited according to the procedures approved by the IRB?				
Were the advertising and/or recruitment materials used approved by the IRB prior to use?				
Were all inclusion and exclusion criteria followed as listed and approved by the IRB?				
If not, were the deviations reported to the IRB?				
For participants that did not meet eligibility requirements (failed screening), were IRB approved procedures followed?				
How many participants have been enrolled to date?				
Is the number of participants enrolled no greater than the IRB approved participant enrollment?				
Date of Initial IRB Approval: Date first participant enrolled:				
3. Informed Consent Process and Documentation				
Was the IRB approved version of the consent/assent used to enroll participants?				
If using an oral or online consent, was the IRB approved script/text used to enroll participants?				
Were any consent forms or language used which were not approved?				
Did an appropriately trained study team member obtain consent from all participants?				
Is there a signed and dated consent form on file for every participant enrolled in the project?				
If changes were made to the consent form, were the changes submitted and approved by the IRB prior to use?				
Did every participant receive a copy of the consent form?				
If applicable, has every participant been fully debriefed after all research procedures?				
Researcher's contact number listed in the consent document is correct and functional? Phone number listed: _____				
4. Research Protocol				
Was the research conducted consistent with the description and procedures as approved by the IRB?				
Were all of the data collection tools which were used (surveys, interview questions, etc.) approved by the IRB prior to use?				
For each participant, was consent obtained prior to performance of any protocol procedures?				
Is participant payment consistent with the IRB approved protocol and consent, and are all participant compensation records being documented and stored appropriately?				
If changes were made to the protocol, were the changes submitted and approved by the IRB prior to implementation?				
Have all reportable events been addressed as required by the UVA IRB?				
5. Privacy, Data Storage, and Confidentiality				
Were privacy standards and procedures implemented as approved by the IRB?				
Are links to coded data stored separately from the data?				
Are signed consent forms stored separately from the research data?				Location:

	Yes	No	N/A	Notes
Are project data secured as approved by the IRB?				Location:
If you are storing data on a computer and/or portable storage device, are these devices password protected and/or encrypted? Are these devices stored in a secure location?				
Are electronic data secured (password protected, encrypted, etc.) as approved by the IRB?				
Are your computing devices secured according to University standards?				
Are you aware of the security and sharing policies for any software or servers utilized for data storage?				
Is access to computer, electronic files, and physical files limited to appropriate project personnel?				
Are identifiers stored/disposed of as approved by the IRB?				
Are the research data (raw) stored as approved by the IRB?				
Has data been shared only per the approved protocol and data sharing agreements?				
6. Full Board Protocols Only: Continuing Review				
Are you aware of when the IRB approval for this project expires?				Exp. Date:
Has IRB approval for this project ever expired?				
If Yes, did you report any research activity that took place while IRB approval was expired?				
Have there been any adverse events, unanticipated problems, or complaints while conducting this research?				
If Yes, have all details been reported to the IRB as required?				
Have you become aware of new information that changes the risk-benefit ratio of this project?				
Has the number enrolled on the continuing reviews included individuals who consented but did not complete the project?				
7. Project Completion				
Is data analysis complete for this project?				
Have all identifiers been destroyed in accordance with IRB approved procedures?				
If yes to both questions above, submit a Closure notice to the IRB. <small>Note: research records should be retained for at least 5 years. For further information, see https://research.virginia.edu/irb-sbs/retention-research-records-and-destruction-data-0</small>				
8. Quality Improvement				
Have you any questions or concerns about your protocol?				
If yes, please describe:				
Overall, are you satisfied with the IRB review process?				
Overall, are you satisfied with IRB-SBS customer service?				
Have you any suggestions, recommendations or observations with regard to the protocol review process?				

If yes, please describe:	
Are there IRB-related topics for which you would like information or guidance?	

Certification			
I certify that all information provided in this document is accurate and that the IRB has been informed of any necessary issues.			
Principal Investigator Signature	Date	Faculty Advisor Signature	Date